

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,396	0	3/26/2004	Shih-Yuan Chang	386998050US	3290
25096	7590	12/14/2005		EXAM	INER
25096 7590 12/14/2005 PERKINS COIE LLP PATENT-SEA			TON, Al	NABEL	
PATENT-SE P.O. BOX 12				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE,		1-1247		2875	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Agr
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/811,396	CHANG, SHIH-YUAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anabel M. Ton	2875
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON c, cause the application to become AB	CATION. sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	<u>larch 2004</u> .	·
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the	epted or b) objected to l drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/811,396 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims1, 10 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Applicant recites the "first semi-cylinders being perpendicular to the second semi-cylinders". There is no antecedent basis for this limitation in the specification neither in the drawings nor in the specification. The specification recites "the first semi-cylinders being perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the second semi-cylinders", which is not the same structure as applicant is claiming. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeyasu et al (6,905,228) and further in view of Katoh (5,032,960).
- 4. Takeyasu discloses the claimed invention except for the recitation of the transparent main body having a first and second portion having at least one first semi cylinder and the second portion having a plurality of second semi cylinders. Takeyasu discloses a main body having a first portion and a second portion opposite each other (upper and lower section of 2a), the first portion having at least one semi circular lens and the second portion having a pluraolity of semi circular lenses, the first semi circular lens being perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the second semi circular lenses,

Application/Control Number: 10/811,396

Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

wherein the number of first and second semi circular lenses are determined according to the LED array (as shown in figures 1 and 2, each lens corresponds to an LED). Katch discloses a semi cylindrical lens for use in a lighting arrangement with LEDs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the semi circular lenses of Takeyasu with the semi cylindrical lens of Katch for each respective LED of Takeyasu, since Katch teaches of the advantages of using a semi cylindrical lens when a converging light emission is required for a lighting application.

- With regards to the amount of semi-cylinders used in claims 2-5 and 11-14, since both Takeyasu and Katoh satisfy the limitations of using a semi cylindrical lens in a lighting array corresponding to a selected amount of LED's, and the positioning of the lenses being in a linear array, and a two dimensional array, the amount of lenses being used is obvious since it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a given amount of semi cylinders in the device of Takeyasu in further view of Katoh since it has been held that mere duplication of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. Furthermore, applicant has established that the importance of using such an array of semi cylinders is only to correspond to a given amount of LED's.
- With regards to claims 6 and 15, and the positioning of the semi cylinders in a triangular geometry, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the semi-circular lenses of Takeyasu

Application/Control Number: 10/811,396

Art Unit: 2875

in a triangular geometry, since it has been held by the courts that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device, and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device. *In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.*, 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), Furthermore, it appears that the lenses of Takeyasu at some point in the array have a triangular geometry since they are connected to each other to each correspond to an LED in a multiple LED array (col. 3 lines 40-65).

Page 4

- The curvature of Katoh's lens is of a spherical curvature;
- The lenses of both Katoh and Takeyasu are formed from at least glass, PMMA, polycarbonate and acrylic resin.
- With regards to claim 10, Takeyasu discloses the claimed limitations except for the recitation of first and second portions of the light collector being semi-cylinders. Takeyasu discloses an LED array and a light collector positioned in front of the LED array (2,2a), the light collector positioned in front of said LED array, said light collector including a transparent main body having a first portion and a second portion opposite to each other and said second portion facing to said LED array, said first portion having at least one first semi circular lens and said second portion having a plurality of second semi circular lenses, said first semi-circular lens being perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of said second

Art Unit: 2875

semi-circular lenses', wherein the number and the respective geometric arrangement of said first semi-circular lens and said second semi-circular lenses are determined according to the LED array and said light emitting diodes correspond to said second semi-cylinders in a one-to-one basis. Katoh discloses a semi cylindrical lens for use in a lighting arrangement with LEDs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the semi circular lenses of Takeyasu with the semi cylindrical lens of Katoh for each respective LED of Takeyasu, since Katoh teaches of the advantages of using a semi cylindrical lens when a converging light emission is required for a lighting application.

• With regards to claim 19, the recitation "a flash device" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). Takeyasu et al teaches the claimed invention limitations except for the recitation of first and second portions of the light collector being semi-cylinders. Takeyasu discloses an housing having an opening (9, 5) an LED array positioned in the housing, the LED array including a plurality of LEDs and a light collector positioned in front of the LED array (2,2a),

the light collector positioned in front of said LED array, said light collector including a transparent main body having a first portion and a second portion opposite to each other and said second portion facing to said LED array, said first portion having at least one first semi circular lens and said second portion having a plurality of second semi circular lenses, said first semi-circular lens being perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of said second semi-circular lenses', wherein the number and the respective geometric arrangement of said first semicircular lens and said second semi-circular lenses are determined according to the LED array and said light emitting diodes correspond to said second semicylinders in a one-to-one basis. Katoh discloses a semi cylindrical lens for use in a lighting arrangement with LEDs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the semi circular lenses of Takeyasu with the semi cylindrical lens of Katoh for each respective LED of Takeyasu, since Katoh teaches of the advantages of using a semi cylindrical lens when a converging light emission is required for a lighting application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M. Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

AMT

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800